

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

SINGULAR COMPUTING LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 1:19-cv-12551-FDS

Hon. F. Dennis Saylor IV

**DEFENDANT GOOGLE LLC'S
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO IMPOUND/SEAL DESIGNATED MATERIAL**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.2 and the Protective Order in this case ("Protective Order"), (Dkt. No. 87), Defendant Google LLC ("Google") respectfully requests that this Court impound (seal) the following materials filed in support of Google's oppositions to certain motions *in limine* filed by Plaintiff Singular Computing LLC ("Singular").

1. An un-redacted copy of Google's Opposition to Singular's Motion *in Limine* No. 5.

2. An un-redacted copy of Google's Opposition to Singular's Motion *in Limine* No. 7.

3. The following exhibits that accompany Google's Opposition to Singular's Motion *in Limine* No. 7

- **Exhibit 1:** Excerpts from the expert report of Mr. Phillip Green.
- **Exhibit 2:** Excerpts from the expert report of Laura Stamm.
- **Exhibit 3:** Excerpts from the expert report of Prof. Sunil Khatri.
- **Exhibit 4:** Excerpts from the deposition of Laura Stamm.

4. An un-redacted copy of Google's Opposition to Singular's Motion *in Limine* No. 8.

5. The following exhibits that accompany Google's Opposition to Singular's Motion *in Limine* No. 8:

- **Exhibit 1:** Excerpts from the rebuttal expert report of Prof. Sunil Khatri.
- **Exhibit 2:** Excerpts from the expert report of John L. Gustafson.
- **Exhibit 3:** Excerpts from the November 1, 2023 deposition of Joseph Bates.
- **Exhibit 4:** Excerpts from the expert report of Laura Stamm.

6. An un-redacted copy of Google's Opposition to Singular's Motion *in Limine* No. 9.

7. The following exhibits that accompany Google's Opposition to Singular's Motion *in Limine* No. 9:

- **Exhibit 1:** Excerpts from the expert report of Mr. Phillip Green.
- **Exhibit 2:** GOOG-SING-00074536

8. The following exhibits that accompany Google's Opposition to Singular's Motion *in Limine* No. 10:

- **Exhibit 1:** Excerpts from the expert report of Laura Stamm.
- **Exhibit 2:** Excerpts from the November 1, 2023 deposition of Joseph Bates.

The Protective Order allows a party producing documents in discovery to designate documents as "Confidential" after making a good-faith determination that the documents contain information that is "confidential, proprietary, and/or commercially sensitive information," and to designate documents as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" after making a good-faith determination that the documents contain information that is extremely confidential and/or

sensitive in nature and the disclosure of such documents is likely to cause economic harm or significant competitive disadvantage. Protective Order ¶¶ 6-7. That Order requires that a party intending to make court filings referring to such Protected Material bring a motion to impound. *Id.* ¶ 14.

Google's above-identified documents contain confidential information designated Confidential or Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only under the Protective Order that is extremely confidential and/or sensitive in nature and the disclosure of such documents is likely to cause Google economic harm or significant competitive disadvantage.

Submission of the above-identified exhibits is necessary to permit the Court to fully evaluate the issues raised in Google's oppositions to Singular's motions *in limine*. Google therefore brings this Motion to Impound to seal the above-identified documents. Additionally, Google has filed redacted versions of its opposition briefs, which redact the Google confidential information discussed above, public disclosure of which would risk competitive harm to Google.

For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court permit it to file the above-identified documents under seal. Google further requests that the documents remain impounded until further order by the Court, and that upon expiration of the impoundment that the documents be returned to Google's counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 13, 2023

By: /s/ Nathan R. Speed
Gregory F. Corbett (BBO #646394)
gcorbett@wolfgreenfield.com
Nathan R. Speed (BBO #670249)
nspeed@wolfgreenfield.com
Elizabeth A. DiMarco (BBO #681921)
edimarco@wolfgreenfield.com
Anant K. Saraswat (BBO #676048)
asaraswat@wolfgreenfield.com
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, MA 02210
Telephone: (617) 646-8000
Fax: (617) 646-8646

Robert Van Nest (admitted *pro hac vice*)
rvannest@keker.com
Michelle Ybarra (admitted *pro hac vice*)
mybarra@keker.com
Andrew Bruns (admitted *pro hac vice*)
abruns@keker.com
Vishesh Narayen (admitted *pro hac vice*)
vnarayen@keker.com
Christopher S. Sun (admitted *pro hac vice*)
csun@keker.com
Anna Porto (admitted *pro hac vice*)
aporto@keker.com
Deeva Shah (admitted *pro hac vice*)
dshah@keker.com
Stephanie J. Goldberg (admitted *pro hac vice*)
sgoldberg@keker.com
Eugene M. Paige (admitted *pro hac vice*)
epaige@keker.com
Rachael E. Meny (admitted *pro hac vice*)
rmeny@keker.com
Eric K. Phung (admitted *pro hac vice*)
ephung@keker.com
Kaiyi A. Xie (admitted *pro hac vice*)
kxie@keker.com
KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP
633 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
Telephone: (415) 391-5400

Michael S. Kwun (admitted *pro hac vice*)
mkwun@kblfirm.com
Asim M. Bhansali (admitted *pro hac vice*)
abhansali@kblfirm.com
KWUN BHANSALI LAZARUS LLP
555 Montgomery Street, Suite 750
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 630-2350

Matthias A. Kamber (admitted *pro hac vice*)
matthiaskamber@paulhastings.com
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
101 California Street, 48th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 856-7000
Fax: (415) 856-7100

Ginger D. Anders (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Ginger.Anders@mto.com
J. Kain Day (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Kain.Day@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
601 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 500E
Washington, D.C. 20001
Tel: (202) 220-1100

Jordan D. Segall (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Jordan.Segall@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3426
Tel: (213) 683-9100

Counsel for Defendant Google LLC

LOCAL RULE 7.1(a)(2) CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a)(2), I certify that, on December 12, 2023, counsel for Defendant Google LLC and counsel for Plaintiff Singular Computing LLC met and conferred in good faith regarding resolution of this motion. Counsel for Plaintiff stated that it does not oppose the relief requested in this motion.

/s/ Nathan R. Speed

Nathan R. Speed

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that this document is being filed through the Court's electronic filing system, which serves counsel for other parties who are registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF). Any counsel for other parties who are not registered participants are being served by first class mail on the date of electronic filing.

/s/ Nathan R. Speed

Nathan R. Speed